Preface

We are very pleased to present The Handbook of Trait Narcissism: Key Advances, Research Methods, and Controversies. This handbook is the first of its kind, an edited volume devoted to the latest theoretical and empirical developments on individual differences in narcissism in personality and social psychology. Ours, however, is not the first "handbook" dedicated to narcissism; Campbell and Miller (2011) paved the way with one which sought to bridge the clinical and personality-social “divide” providing a much-needed summary of recent work from both academic spheres. Our effort here is somewhat less ambitious but comes at a time in which narcissism research is exploding and theoretical developments are happening at a rapid pace. According to PsychINFO, there have been over 1600 peer-reviewed journal articles published on the subject of narcissism since January of 2011, a more than 50% increase from all those published since the Narcissistic Personality Inventory was published in 1970! In order to accommodate as many topics as possible, we have adopted a “brief chapter” approach in which we have asked authors to summarize cutting-edge research and suggest future research directions in less than 5500 words. We believe this also serves the reader as well, as it makes it quicker and easier than ever before to keep abreast of the latest developments. We hope this handbook will serve the seasoned narcissism researcher trying to keep up with this rapidly advancing field, the novice researcher or student trying to gain a theoretical foothold, as well as the journalist or member of the public who desires an accurate yet accessible depiction of the science of narcissism.

Our editorial duties for this volume have given us a "bird’s eye" view of our field and we have several observations to offer our readers. First, narcissism research has spread to a dramatically wider variety of domains since Campbell and Miller’s (2011) volume. For example, our handbook includes chapters on topics like fellowship, memory, friendship, envy, religiosity, and bullying—topics that did not appear in the Campbell and Miller’s (2011) handbook. Moreover, new and fascinating empirical perspectives on the development of narcissism have appeared in the intervening years, which include advances in our understanding of the impact of parenting, economic conditions, behavioral genetics, and other factors, all of which can be found in the current volume.

Our initial intention was to develop a book that focused exclusively on grandiose narcissism research. However, we quickly realized that the literature on vulnerable narcissism had exploded recently as well and was often so...
intimately linked to research on grandiose narcissism that it was impractical, and even misleading, to avoid the topic altogether. As a result, a substantial portion of the handbook addresses developments in the literatures on both grandiose and vulnerable narcissism. For example, we have four chapters entirely devoted to making key empirical and theoretical distinctions between the two constructs, and a great many chapters address vulnerable narcissism as a substantial subtopic. Questions remain, however, regarding which core traits vulnerable and grandiose narcissism share and how to best conceptualize these distinct (i.e., weakly correlated) personality traits. Moreover, the conceptual and empirical relation between grandiose narcissism, vulnerable narcissism, and the more clinically oriented constructs of pathological narcissism and narcissistic personality disorder remain underdeveloped.

Nevertheless, we think readers of this volume will come away with a more nuanced understanding of narcissism and its many varieties.

A good deal of recent research has also made it very clear that individual differences in grandiosity and self-attunation can take many forms. For example, recent work on communal and collective narcissism has made a compelling case that self-aggrandizement can be based on prosocial traits ("I am the most charitable person!") and also be held on behalf of one's social group ("We are the best country on Earth!"). These developments have clearly arisen, at least in part, because there is still ample room in the field for psychometric and theoretical innovation. On the other hand, we still lack consensus on how to best measure many of our core constructs and those that are relevant, albeit distinct, from narcissism. The good news is that new and theoretically driven measures are emerging, which serve as useful tools as we seek to advance our knowledge in a more concerted and cumulative fashion.

As we present this work to you, we are filled with gratitude for the excellent contributions of all our authors and to be a part of an intellectually exciting field that is more relevant than ever. The three of us approached this daunting project with a combined sense of excitement and more than a little anxiety. Our anxieties were quickly replaced with feelings of appreciation and indebtedness, however, when we began to receive drafts of the individual chapters. They were overwhelmingly genuine and well-written and required modest levels of editing on our part. We are so thankful to the contributors, who so clearly put significant effort into their chapters, and did so almost entirely as an act of collegiality. Who knew that narcissism researchers could be so selfless? More specifically, we are thankful for collegial support and advice from W. Keith Campbell and the encouragement and assistance of Morgan Ryan at Springer, with whom this book would have never made it off the ground.
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What Separates Narcissism from Self-esteem? A Social-Cognitive Perspective

Eddie Brummelman, Çișem Gürel, Sander Thomaes, and Constantine Sedikides

Abstract

Psychologists claim that narcissists have inflated, exaggerated, or excessive self-esteem. Media reports state that narcissists suffer from self-esteem on steroids. The conclusion seems obvious: Narcissists have too much self-esteem. A growing body of research shows, however, that narcissism and self-esteem are only weakly related. What, then, separates narcissism from self-esteem? We argue that narcissism and self-esteem are rooted in distinct core beliefs—beliefs about the nature of the self, of others, and of the relationship between the self and others. These beliefs arise early in development, are cultivated by distinct socialization practices, and create unique behavioral patterns. Emerging experimental research shows that these beliefs can be changed through precise intervention, leading to changes at the level of narcissism and self-esteem. An important task for future research will be to develop interventions that simultaneously lower narcissism and raise self-esteem from an early age.
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Conventional Wisdom

People intuitively infer that narcissism and self-esteem are intimately linked. In his essay On Narcissism, Freud (1914/1957) wrote that “self-regard appears to us to be an expression of the size of the ego” (p. 98). Today, the American Heritage Dictionary defines narcissism as “excessive [...] admiration of oneself” and self-esteem as “pride in oneself.” This definition suggests that narcissism simply represents an excess of self-esteem—taking too much pride in oneself. This belief exists among experts and laypersons alike. Psychologists, including ourselves, have defined narcissism as a form of “excessive self-esteem,” “infated self-esteem,” “exaggerated self-esteem,” “unwarranted self-esteem,” or “defensive high self-esteem.” Similarly, media reports have labeled narcissists as “self-esteem on steroids” or “blown-up self-esteem.” The conclusion seems obvious: Narcissists like themselves a little too much.

However, narcissism and self-esteem might be much more distinct than conventional wisdom has led people to believe (Brummelman, Thomasa, & Sedikides, 2016). If narcissism really is an inflated form of self-esteem, narcissism and self-esteem should correlate strongly, and there should be no narcissists with low self-esteem. However, the correlation between narcissism and self-esteem is only weak or modest (Campbell, Rudich, & Sedikides, 2002; Thomasa & Brummelman, 2016) and becomes even weaker when researchers use more valid measures of narcissism and self-esteem (Brown & Zeigler-Hill, 2004) and when they encourage narcissists to report their self-esteem truthfully (Myers & Zeigler-Hill, 2012). Moreover, latent class analysis shows that there are narcissists with low self-esteem; in fact, there are about as many narcissists with low self-esteem as there are narcissists with high self-esteem (Nelemans et al., 2017).

A Social-Cognitive Perspective

These findings beg the question: What separates narcissism from self-esteem? We approach this question from a social-cognitive perspective (Brummelman, 2017; Olson & Dweck, 2008). Rather than describing the stable patterns of behavior that characterize narcissism and self-esteem, we identify underlying core beliefs of narcissists and people with high self-esteem (hereafter: high-self-esteemers). These beliefs concern the nature of the self, of others, and of the relationship between the self and others (Fig. 5.1). Such beliefs can create stable behavioral patterns by shaping what goals people pursue and by guiding how people perceive, select, modify, and respond to their environment.

Beliefs About the Self

Narcissists believe they are inherently superior to their fellow humans. When Ernest Jones (1913/1951) described narcissism as a personality trait, he labeled it the God Complex, echoing narcissists’ belief in their own greatness. Narcissists see themselves as superior on agentic traits such as competence and intelligence, but not on communal traits such as warmth and kindness (Campbell et al., 2002). In addition, they hold inflated views of themselves even if such views conflict with reality (Grijalva & Zhang, 2016). For example, narcissists think they are superb leaders when they hold group performance (Neviaka, Ten Velzen, De Hoogh, & Van Vianen, 2011); they believe they are interpersonally attractive when others do not think so (Gabriel, Critelli, & Ee, 1994); and they see themselves as geniuses when their IQ scores are not on par (Paulhus, Harms, Bruce, & Lysy, 2003).

By contrast, high-self-esteemers believe they are worthy, but do not consider themselves superior to others. As Morris Rosenberg (1965) wrote, “When we deal with self-esteem, we are asking whether the individual considers himself adequate—a person of worth—not whether he considers himself superior to others” (p. 62). Whereas narcissists primarily value their agentic traits, high-self-esteemers value both their agentic and their communal traits (Campbell et al., 2002). And while narcissists close their eyes to reality, high-self-esteemers’ views of themselves are more grounded in reality (Gabriel et al., 1994).

Beliefs About Others

Unsurprising given their sense of superiority, narcissists look down on others. Not only do they believe that others are subservient to them (Park & Colvin, 2015), they sometimes even dehumanize others (Locke, 2009). Yet, at the same time, narcissists covet others’ admiration. Roseanne Barr expressed this sentiment in an interview with GQ Magazine: “I hate every human being on earth,” she said. “I feel that everyone is beneath me, and I feel that they should all worship me.”
me” (Guccione, 2000). According to some researchers, narcissists are addicted to admiration. They crave admiration, are tolerant to its effects, and experience withdrawal symptoms when it is withheld (Baumeister & Vohs, 2001; Thomasa & Brummelman, 2016). To elicit admiration, narcissists strive to stand out and get ahead (Wallace & Baumeister, 2002), even in settings where such behavior is inappropriate (Sedikides, Campbell, Reeder, Elliott, & Gregg, 2002). For example, even in their close relationships, narcissists attempt to dominate others, surpass others, and ridicule others (Campbell, Foster, & Tinkeltal., 2002; Keller et al., 2014).

To a great degree, narcissists base their sense of worth on others’ admiration for them. When they are admired, they feel on top of the world; but when they are not, they feel like sinking into the ground (Brummelman, Nikolaï, & Biggs, 2018; Tracy, Cheng, Robins, & Treznieski, 2009). Narcissists often externalize these feelings of shame by lashing out angrily or aggressively against others (Bushman & Baumeister, 1998; Thomasa, Bushman, Stegge, & Olthof, 2009; Thomasa, Stegge, Olthof, Bushman, & Neelk, 2011). This process, known as humiliated fury or the shame-rage cycle, can escalate into acts of violence; for example, case studies suggest that narcissism puts youth at risk for school shootings (Verlinden, Herse, & Thomas, 2000).

In contrast, high self-esteem does not look down on others or dehumanize others (Looke, 2009; Puck & Colvin, 2015); they believe that others have intrinsic worth and do not see others as a means to obtain admiration. Even if they are rejected by others, high self-esteem is unlikely to feel ashamed or to lash out; rather, they tend to forgive others and seek reconciliation with them (Eaton, Ward Struthers, & Santelli, 2006; Murray, Rose, Bellavia, Holmes, & Kusche, 2002).

Beliefs About Relationships

Narcissists believe that their relationships follow a zero-sum principle: Only one of us can be the best, so your failure is my success, and my success is your failure (Brummelman et al., 2016). Narcissists desire to get ahead rather than get along (Thomasa, Stegge, Bushman, Olthof, & Denissen, 2009), even in interdependent settings. When they collaborate with others, narcissists praise themselves for success, blame their partners for failures (Campbell, Reeder, Sedikides, & Elliott, 2000), and attempt to secure short-term benefits for themselves, at the expense of their partners and the common good (Campbell, Bush, Brostel, & Shelton, 2005). Unsurprisingly, this puts a strain on their relationships: Narcissists’ charming first impressions crumble with the passage of time (Leckelt, Kifer, Nestler, & Back, 2015; Paulhus, 1998).

Sharp contrasts, high self-esteem believe that their relationships follow a non-zero-sum principle: We can both be worthy, so we can both get what we want (Crocker, Canavello, & Lewis, 2017). High self-esteem is desire to get along rather than get ahead (Thomasa et al., 2008). Thus, they are likely to care for others, share with others, and help others in their goal pursuits (Zaffanldi et al., 2016). This benefits their relationships. High self-esteem is well-liked by others, even in the long run (De Bruyn & Van Der Boom, 2005; Murray, Holmes, & Griffin, 2000).

Research Priorities

Whereas much existing research describes the stable patterns of behavior that characterize narcissism and self-esteem, we attempted to uncover the core beliefs that give rise to those behavioral patterns. Our social-cognitive approach builds on classical theories of personality that feature beliefs, such as cognitive-affective encodings (Mischel & Shoda, 1995), basic beliefs (Epstain, 2003), implicit theories (Dweck & Leggett, 1988), working models (Bowby, 1969), schemas (Young, 1994), personal myths (McAdams, 1993), and personal constructs (Kelly, 1955).

Core beliefs can be defined precisely, measured directly, and changed effectfully. Our approach thus enables researchers to peer under the surface of narcissism and self-esteem: to trace their origins, understand their stability, and explore their malleability.

5 Narcissism and Self-esteem

Origins

One issue is where narcissism and self-esteem come from. Both emerge around the age of 7 (Thomsan & Brummelman, 2016), when children begin to make global self-evaluations (e.g., “I am great!”) and to use social-comparison information for the purpose of self-evaluation (e.g., “I am better than others”).

Although partly genetic (Neiss, Sedikides, & Stevenson, 2002; Vernon, Villanis, Vickers, & Harris, 2008), narcissism and self-esteem are shaped by the social environment. Longitudinal research has revealed that they arise from distinct socialization experiences in childhood (Brummelman et al., 2016; Brummelman, Neelam, Thomasa, & Orboio de Castro, 2017; see also Harris et al., 2017). Narcissism is nurtured, at least in part, by parental overvaluation—how much parents see their own child as extraordinary and entitled. Overvaluing parents overestimate, overclaim, and overpraise their child’s qualities, while helping the child stand out, for example, by giving him or her an uncommon first name (Brummelman, Thomasa, Neelam, Orboio de Castro, & Bushman, 2015).

From these experiences, children infer that they are superior, the core belief underlying narcissism. By contrast, self-esteem is nurtured, at least in part, by parental warmth—how much parents treat their child with affection and appreciation. Warm parents value their child’s company, share joy with the child, and show interest in the child’s activities (Davidov & Grusec, 2006; Rohner, 2004). From these experiences, children infer that they are worthy, the core belief underlying self-esteem.

The research agenda should deepen our understanding of these socialization processes: What are the precise behavioral manifestations of parental overvaluation and warmth? What inferences do children make based on these manifestations? And how do these inferences come to bear on new situations? Researchers should also study socialization influences outside of the family context. Especially when children transition into adolescence, peers begin to assume the role of socializing agents (Cron & Dahl, 2012; Harter, 2012). How are narcissism and self-esteem shaped by experiences within the peer group?

Stability

Another issue is how narcissism and self-esteem change over the course of life. Despite emerging at the same age, they have remarkably distinct developmental trajectories. While narcissism peaks in adolescence and then gradually falls throughout life (Robins, Zanetti, Tracy, Goslins, & Porter, 2002). Still, individual differences in narcissism and self-esteem are remarkably stable (Carlson & Gjerde, 2009; Frick, Kornis, Dunneaux, & Farell, 2004; Zanetti, Brent, & Robins, 2003).

Why are these individual differences so stable? There might be several reasons (Caspi & Roberts, 2001). One is that narcissists and high self-esteemers perceive, select, modify, and respond to situations in ways that maintain or even exacerbate their traits over time. For example, narcissists may select settings with a clear hierarchy, such as corporations that enable them to rise through the ranks (Goff & Jordan, 2016). They may compete with others to reach the top (Roberts, Woodman, & Sedikides, 2017). As they move to increasingly responsible positions, they may come to perceive themselves as even more special and entitled, which fuels their narcissism levels (Piff, 2014). Unlike narcissists, high self-esteemers may select settings in which people are treated as equals. They may collaborate with others to advance the collective, while building relationships with them (Campbell et al., 2005; Crocker et al., 2017). As they work with others and feel socially valued, they may perceive themselves as even more useful and needed, which fuels their self-esteem levels (Leary & Baumeister, 2000). Thus, narcissism and self-esteem may not be set in stone (i.e., inborn, deeply ingrained, impossible to change) but rather be maintained through self-sustaining transactions between the person and the environment (also see Crocker & Brummelman, in...
press). Studying these transactions will shed light on the processes that drive continuity and change in personality more broadly.

Malleability

Can narcissism and self-esteem be changed? Although scholars agree that self-esteem can be changed (O’Mara, Marsh, Craven, & Debus, 2006), there are more skeptical about changing narcissism, and with good reasons. When left untaught, narcissism is remarkably stable (Frick et al., 2003). Narcissists might be unwilling to change, because they see their narcissistic traits as strengths rather than as weaknesses (Carlson, 2013) and they readily blame their problems on others rather than on themselves (Thomas et al., 2011). Even if they try to change, they do so haltingly; for example, they quit therapy prematurely (Ellison, Levy, Cain, Assel, & Pincus, 2013).

Nevertheless, our social-cognitive approach suggests that narcissism can be changed if interventions target previously underlying core beliefs (Brummelman et al., 2016). A promising development in psychology is the emergence of brief, psychologically precise interventions that change people’s core beliefs (Cohen & Sherman, 2014; Walton, 2014). Because these interventions are 

stealthy (i.e., consisting of brief exercises that do not convey to recipients that they are in need of help), they may circumvent narcissists’ resistance against change (Brummelman & Walton, 2015). Emerging research suggests this. For example, inviting people to think about how what makes their similar to others or connected with others reduces their narcissism level and improves their relationship functioning (Finkel, Campbell, Buffardi, Klimidis, & Russell, 2009). Similarly, helping low-self-esteemers reconstruct their social relationships so that they feel more included and valued raises their self-esteem levels and improves their relationship functioning over time (Marogil, Holmes, & Ross, 2007, 2010). Thus, changes in core beliefs may lead to changes in personality (Dweck, 2008). Researchers should develop such interventions, test them through rigorous field experiments, and explore how their effects can be sustained over time.

Conclusion

As we have shown, narcissism and self-esteem are underpinned by distinct core beliefs concerning the nature of the self, of others, and of the relationship between the self and others. Although these beliefs arise early in development and generate stable patterns of behavior, they can be changed effectively through precise intervention. Thus, recognizing the line that exists between narcissism and self-esteem can help researchers develop interventions that nurture healthy self-views from an early age onward.
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